



Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St. SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Via Electronic Filing

Re: MM Dkt 99-25 In the Matter of the Creation of a Low Power Radio Service

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Attached please find the signatures of 8 people — residents of Wyoming — in support of two important components of the Federal Communications Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service.

In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. To best serve the diverse needs of local communities, the FCC should adopt rules and policies that maximize the number of LPFM stations that can go on the air. For example, by allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double.

In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio.

Respectfully submitted,

_____/s/_____

Candace Clement
Free Press
(202) 265-1490

Cc:
FCC Chairman Julius Genachowski
FCC Commissioner Mignon Clyburn
FCC Commissioner Robert M. McDowell

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—William Lawrence Combs, Evanston, WY

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Scott McGee, Jackson, WY

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Darija Mandic, Herceg-Novi.MONTENEGRO, WY

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Wendy Colschen, rawlins, WY

I live in an extremely rural area of Wyoming, the least populated state in the USA. The few radio stations we do get hardly mention the goings on of important local events or news. This is important in an area such as ours due to the long drive times between towns, 80 miles to the next town, and the fact that the weather can change on us in that time, trapping us in our vehicles until help arrives. Therefore, I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Gerald Hannelly, Dubois, WY

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Kirk & Ann Jacobs, Laramie, WY

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—Peter Beatty, Casper, WY

I am writing to express my support for two important components of your NPRM regarding the future of the Low Power FM (LPFM) service. In many communities, the demand for stations far exceeds the number of available frequencies. The Federal Communications Commission should do everything it can to increase the number of LPFMs that can go on the air across the country. By allowing all stations that will not cause interference to apply for waivers on the second adjacent channel, the number of community radio stations this service can license could more than double. In addition, the FCC should require new LPFM stations to broadcast a minimum amount of locally originated programming each week. With only so many licenses available in each community — and with many more applicants than available channels — it is crucial that no city or town miss out on this opportunity for real local radio. Thank you.

—George Simmons, Green River, WY

